MINUTES

NON-LEGISLATIVE MEETING – APRIL 2, 2025

The April 2, 2025 Non-Legislative Meeting of the Lower Swatara Township Board of Commissioners was called to order at 7:00 P.M. by President Jon G. Wilt. President Wilt called for the pledge of allegiance followed by a moment of silence.

The following officials were in attendance:

- Jon G. Wilt, President
- Todd F. Truntz, Vice President
- Ronald J. Paul, Assistant Secretary
- Michael J. Davies, Commissioner
- Christopher DeHart, Commissioner
- Zachary Border, Manager
- Tracey Bechtel, Township Secretary
- Brett Flower, Solicitor
- Shawn Fabian, HRG
- Don Fure, Director of Codes and Planning
- Scott Washinger, Public Works Director
- Brian Davis, MS4 Coordinator
- Tom Bell, Jr., Police Chief

Residents and visitors in attendance: Please see attached sign-in sheet.

President Wilt welcomed everyone to the meeting and opened the floor for public comment.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

PRESENTATION – MIDDLETOWN AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT:

Marc Kurowski, K&W indicated they are here this evening to update the Board on the work they are doing on the Greenfield Drive relocation as part of the ongoing work on the school campus. Mr. Kurowski referred to drawing C-105.1, Site Layout Plan A The most recent submission shows some slight adjustments because of concerns with the jughandle configuration. The primary concerns regarding how this intersection is laid out are in keeping any traffic from Greenfield Drive heading south at the intersection where there will be a stop bar and stop sign. That traffic will need to proceed straight through Greenfield ultimately coming out to 441. If traffic is coming in from the new Greenfield Drive extended from 441 you can continue right as a through movement up the hill or you can continue straight which would bring you through the intersection which allows you to continue to come down into the park or continue down into the school campus. Mr. Kurowski noted the concrete median is there to specifically prohibit traffic that is coming up from the school campus from turning left into Greenfield and also for

traffic coming down Greenfield that they cannot turn right to come into the campus.
Mr. Kurowski explained that they are trying to provide the most seamless/smooth configuration to keep movements limited to keeping the traffic where they want it to be, mainly away from the current Greenfield Drive intersection at 441 because of the concerns of site distance and still provide the means to get public traffic into the park and also to keep school traffic from coming in and coming down into the school and back out again and not be able to go North all the way to the existing intersection.

Commissioner DeHart noted that all of the discussions have been on how not to have traffic go up through the existing Greenfield Drive intersection, but we have not talked much about traveling into the new section of Greenfield Drive extended. When traffic comes in and gets to the two intersections, will there be easy delignating as to how traffic should flow. Mr. Kurowski indicated that there will be significant signage directing traffic to the park area and into the school campus. Commissioner DeHart asked how many signs there will be, he doesn't want it to be overloaded with signs then people will get too distracted reading all the signs. Mr. Kurowski pointed out on the map where the signage would be located. Commissioner DeHart inquired if there will be a sign on 441 indicating how traffic needs to go to enter the park area, since we do not want them to use the existing Greenfield Drive entrance to get to the park. Mr. Kurowski understood and indicated they could certainly do that. Vice President Truntz commented that he thinks this will be a short learning curve, in reality most of the traffic coming in and out of there regularly is going to be people dropping their kids off and it's going to take one trip up that road to know which way to go and then just repeated morning after morning.

Commissioner Paul asked if the buses are going to be using this entrance. Mr. Kurowski indicated that no buses will be using this entrance. Commissioner Paul asked the other Board members if they thought there is going to be a problem with new intersection (jughandle) and he wasn't sure if the police weighed in on this design, but he just feels that this design looks worse than if it were to be a straight T intersection with a stop sign. Mr. Kurowski stated that they will never get a straight T intersection because PennDOT will not allow it. There is no way that would prohibit traffic from utilizing the intersection at Greenfield and 441 which they are adamantly opposed to. PennDOT does not want additional traffic up there because of the site distance concerns. Commissioner Paul restated that PennDOT permitted that intersection and now they are going to tell the Township, on a Township Road, that we cannot have a stop sign intersection back there. Mr. Kurowski stated that he does not know what the permitting was at that intersection whenever that was constructed, but they absolutely have the right and require us to include a circulation plan like this with our HOP because they want to see how those impacts look. Commissioner Paul emphasized that he has a real problem with that. Mr. Kurowski asked if the concern is how the traffic circulates at that intersection. Commissioner Paul indicated that the concern is the residents on Greenfield Drive do not want traffic coming in and out and using Greenfield Drive

even though that was all established before some were even living there. Mr. Kurowski noted that this has all been in conversation as they have been going through the traffic impact study. They talked with their traffic consultant and their point was PennDOT was not going to let us do a T intersection. Commissioner Paul stated that if that was a T intersection and properly signed, it would not take people very long to understand that you don't go Greenfield Dr to get out to 441, they would automatically turn to go out on the new Greenfield extended to get to 441. Commissioner Paul added that there are plenty places around that have stop signs with a sign underneath saying except for right turn, so the right turn movement would keep going. Another point Commissioner Paul made is that the people that live on Greenfield Dr., instead of being able to go back to their house from the park or school campus, have to go out to Oberlin Road and go back up. He just feels the traffic could be controlled better and be safer. Commissioner Paul stated that he is assuming that there is some type of barrier where the two intersections meet. Mr. Kurowski indicated it is a concrete median so people can't turn. PennDOT does not rely on signage because signage relies on enforcement. They want something that is a physical barrier. Mr. Kurowski added that he is hearing the Boards concern and they have asked PennDOT, but this is what PennDOT is telling them, he added they can continue to ask the question but at this point there is not much other geometry that we can see to try to keep it as smooth as possible easily with directional signs, provide that direction for users of the park, school or residents on Greenfield. Vice President Truntz commented that his concern with the T intersection it will end up being the same thing that they already have where everybody on the Lower Swatara Township side will come in using the first Greenfield Drive entrance and go through that neighborhood. Even though this design is not perfect, it seems to be the best solution.

Commissioner Davies thanked Mr. Kurowski for adequately for the first time describing why the limitation of traffic is necessary on the westbound up Greenfield Drive that it is a PennDOT priority. He added that it is physically impossible for someone on Greenfield Drive to make a left-hand turn onto this feeder to the park area, so the people of the Township who have used Greenfield Drive for decades to access the park are now going to have to come down that loop and find that they can't get in. He asked Mr. Kurowski if they had talked to any of the residents on Greenfield Drive and if they had any concerns about not being able to access the park from their street. Mr. Kurowski stated that they haven't specifically talked to any residents. Commissioner Davies noted that that is the only aspect of this particular plan that bothers him. Mr. Kurowski again asserted that PennDOT's concern is that the site distance at the existing entrance at 441 and Greenfield Drive is way below standard, and they do not want to see any increase in traffic in that location. Mr. Kurowski added that signage can be added on 441 up near where the current Greenfield Drive entrance to indicate Park entrance is now ahead at the new entrance Greenfield extended entrance.

Commissioner Paul asked if the proposed road is curbed and will there be proper drainage. Mr. Kurowski responded, of course, Commissioner Paul asked if further down where it ties into Oberlin Road will that be curbed. Mr. Kurowski indicated whatever PennDOT dictates in that location, he thought they have showed curbing on both sides, but he would have to double check. Mr. Kurowski stated that they are bond by PennDOT's requirements within the right-of-way, so if it is curbed, it's inlets along the curb, if it's not curbed, there is drainage, whether it's roadside swales, piping and inlets as well, in either case, stormwater is addressed.

Dale Messick addressed the permitting of Greenfield Drive when it was originally constructed, it was put in as a collector road which is why it has a wider easement. At that time there was a possibility of 90 houses going in on his property and the school property now where the Middle and Elementary School are, there was a developer that was considering putting in around 110 apartment type housing, so he doesn't understand why PennDOT now has a concern with the use of the intersection.

Mr. Kurowski thanked the Board for their on-going feedback.

Mr. Fure informed the Board that he had asked the school district to come this evening to give an update and there is a page on the plans where it shows dedication of some rights-of-ways. There will be some flip flop between the Township, School District and Mr. Messick. He asked Mr Kurowski to review with the Board these dedications now, so the Board understands everything when the plan comes back before them for approval in a few months.

Mr. Kurowski explained the right-of-way dedications, the land swap occurring between Mr. Messick and the School District and the Township. Commissioner DeHart inquired whether the lots for Mr Messick's property has any of the driveways coming out onto the new Greenfield extended or are they all on the old part. Mr. Kurowski indicated they hadn't seen anything, but that would be part of any subdivision plan developed at that time. He added that there is a bit of sewer relocation and connection that is on their utility plan that has been addressed.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

A motion was made by Vice President Truntz, seconded by Commissioner Davies to approve the Minutes of March 19, 2025, Legislative Meeting. The motion was unanimously approved.

ACCEPTING A DEED OF DEDICATION D&H WAREHOUSE LDP:

A motion was made by Vice President Truntz, seconded by Commissioner Paul to approve accepting a Deed of Dedication for 18,956 square feet (0.44 acres) of land for right-of-way along Rosedale Ave., associated with PC#2019-03 D&H Warehouse LDP. The motion was unanimously approved.

ACCEPTING A DEED OF DEDICATION D&H WAREHOUSE LDP:

A motion was made by Commissioner DeHart, seconded by Commissioner Paul to approve accepting a Deed of Dedication for 4,271 square feet (0.10 acres) of land for right-of way along Rosedale Ave., associated with PC#2019-03 D&H Warehouse LDP. The motion was unanimously approved.

PURCHASE OF 2 DELL POWEREDGE T550 TOWER SERVERS:

A motion was made by Commissioner Davies, seconded by Commissioner DeHart to approve the purchase of 2 Dell PowerEdge T550 Tower Servers in the amount of $48,573.85. The motion was unanimously approved.

Commissioner DeHart noted that we can get part of the funds back with STMP or another grant.

MODIFICATION TO RESOLUTON NO. 2025-R-3-FIREFIGHTER TAX CREDIT:

Mr. Border noted that it was brought to his attention that the wording in the approved Resolution stated that the firefighter had to meet every single criteria, the intent was they only have to meet one criteria, therefore we have to modify the resolution. The Solicitor indicated that the best way to handle this is to repel the current Resolution and do a new one.

Vice President Truntz pointed out for informational purposes that if we require the firefighters to qualify for all four there would only be two firefighters in the whole department that would be eligible.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS:

Planning and Zoning/Codes Department:

Mr. Fure presented the monthly report. Updates to projects include **Cramer Auto** – Punchlist items will be completed Spring/Summer 2025. Renovation of the former Pizza Hut is progressing; **Linden Centre Hotel #2** – Both site work and building construction are progressing; **MASD New K-3 Elementary** – Both site work and building construction are progressing. The operations Building is under roof; and both footings/foundations and under slab utilities for the school are on-going; **Catherine Hershey School** – Both site work and building construction are progressing; **Illuminated Integration Office and Trade Shop** – Punchlist items will be completed Spring/Summer 2025. **The Ponds on Fulling Mill** – Both site work and building construction are progressing; **Penn Preserve** – Both site work and dwelling construction are progressing. Dwelling construction on the final lot has begun.

The Zoning Hearing Board: **29 Wayne Ave** – Request for one (1) Variance. On the Zoning Hearing Board Agenda for 4/23/2025.

The Planning Commission: **Brook Meadow Farms (Preliminary/Final Plan)** – Tabled by the Planning Commission on 2/27/2025. **Greenfield Drive & Blue Raider Lane** – Upon a written request,

tabled by the Plan Designer until 5/22/2025. **Leon Avenue** – Planning Commission recommended conditional approval on 3/27/2025. **Palomba LDP** – Tabled by the Planning Commission on 3/27/2025.

Commissioner DeHart asked where the traffic was going to be going out, Leon or Cockley. Mr. Fure indicated on Leon. He explained that it is one large lot roughly 20 acres, they are making it into 3 lots, but only 1 lot is being built onto, and they will exit through Swatara Township. Commissioner DeHart asked if they are doing septic, sand mound and well, Mr. Fure indicated that is correct.

Mr. Fure noted that there is an uptick in complaints now that the weather is getting nice. Many are anonymous and those are tough to follow up on. Complaints come in that we do not do our jobs here; Mr. Fure wanted to publicly note that just because people don't see compliance or work being done doesn't mean we're not doing our jobs. They have an eviction notice going out to tenants and that takes 90 days. One of their habitual offenders has two more warrants for his arrest, there is only so much the code office can do.

Commissioner DeHart pointed out that there is a form for residents to fill out if they have a complaint and the code department will follow up, it might not happen right away because there is a process so just because you don't see anything doesn't mean nothing is being done.

Commissioner Paul asked if the house that is being redone on Oberlin Road across from the old fire company that has been sitting vacant for 30 years, he surmises that they have an on-lot septic or cesspool and is that part of the code department's review to make sure everything is correct. Mr. Fure replied that they absolutely do, and that the Township has a contract with the owner acknowledging the fact that he was granted permission to start the addition without the on-lot being completed. Mr. Fure added that he does own the lot adjacent to that lot as well.

Permit activity for the month of February 2025 is as follows: Commercial – 3; Residential – 10; Sewer – 3; Sign – 1; Shop drawings – 2; Demolition – 0; Zoning – 11; Street Cut – 2.

Public Works Department

Mr. Washinger updated the Board on the following: **Public Works**– The baseball fields are 85 percent completed. All parks have been edged and mulched around trees, flower beds, etc. Topsoil was used to fill in low areas around the lower parking lot at Little Hollywood. Seed and fertilizer were ordered, staff will slit seed the soccer fields and any other areas where needed. **Sweeper:** The street sweeper is out daily. **Hanover Street Outfall:** Signs will be placed in the outfall area on both the Township's property and Highspire's property. Kids have been removing large rocks and throwing them around while climbing on the outfall area. **Administration Building:** On February 26th water testing was done on the roof. Moser's resealed areas where there may have been possible leaking at their cost. After multiple rain events, there have been no more signs of leaking. **Fire House:** The signed agreement was sent in for the addition of the vapor lock system on the dry feed line. If the weather permits staff will start trenching along the outside of the building where there are signs of moisture and mold within the interior.

Commissioner DeHart thanked Mr. Washinger for taking care of the piles of stones at Longview near the drain.

MS4/Stormwater Department:

Mr. Davis updated the Board on the following: **Draft MS4 Permit** – The Township submitted its comments to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Now that the submission deadline has passed, the Department will be reviewing all comments before finalizing the permit. The final version will not be available until late spring. **WREP Program** – WREP has not had another session since March's workshop board meeting. However, one thing that was previously unmentioned was that the program's members have all agreed to push GIS mapping of our BMPs until 2026 so Tri-County can get better estimates on cost. Therefore, this year's membership cost will likely be very low; approximately $3,500. **Stormwater Management fee Credit Policy** – With new MS4 permit requirements on the horizon, the Township will be reviewing its current credit policy for the Stormwater Fee. It's unlikely that any changes will be proposed until mid/late summer after the final version of the permit requirements are released. However, one major objective that staff are working towards is finding a way to intelligently implement residential stormwater credit without placing a heavy strain on Township personnel. **Stormwater Ordinance Updates** – Updates to our stormwater ordinance are on hold, pending the final terms from the draft MS4 permit. Depending on the requirements set out by DEP, the Township may benefit from making certain changes to this ordinance. **Old Reliance Dam** – After searching through their records, HRG was able to find a previous inspection from PADEP where they had requested cleared vegetation and a trash rack. Apparently HRG had met with the department and successfully argued against this request. We will still need to cut down the trees and put the trash rack in but we can leave the stumps in place just cut them to ground level and will not have to do any digging up which will not require us to do a repair plan.

MANAGER'S REPORT:

Stop Signs

Mr. Border reported that he has been discussing with Chief Bell and Mr. Washinger the placement of some stop signs within the Township that are not properly placed. They are working on a plan to best address this issue. Chief Bell noted that there is a traffic study through PennDOT through the LTAP program. He explained that for the stop signs that are not properly placed and to have them removed, measurements would need to be taken to verify there is proper sight distance and views and then a Resolution per stop sign would need to be approved by the Board of Commissioners. He didn't know if they would require a full traffic survey in those locations. PennDOT does offer a Road Master program to get fully certified to do a full traffic study which he has completed 3 or 4 of the classes required.

Commissioner DeHart raised the question as to the stop signs in the community that have been there for years, and now we are saying that they may not have been placed properly, and if someone would go through them, we could not enforce it. Chief Bell explained that if any of those stop signs were ever challenged in court, we would lose the case, and any fruition that would come from that stop as well because they are not properly placed. Commissioner DeHart asked if they took into account the removal of the stop sign what ramifications come from that and what other problems will come from that. Chief Bell clarified that basically we would be bringing the Township roadway within compliance with the PennDOT standards, we would not be deviating from PennDOT's standards. Commissioner DeHart remarked that what he heard this evening is PennDOT is ruling everything on all our roads, the Township has no say anymore. Commissioner Paul commented that the ruling is correct, the stop sign on Nissley Dr and O'Hara is the way it is because of that ruling and there isn't a 3-way stop sign at that location. PennDOT hasn't changed their regulations. He knows that there are a lot of 3-way stop signs in the Township. Chief Bell explained that PennDOT designs roadways to flow, they don't want the primary street to be stopped unless there is a site distance issue or there is traffic there that would support a stop sign at that location. The side roads are the ones that should have the stop sign. Vice President Truntz stated his concern, that if these stop signs are removed these roads will turn into a speedway. He understands that you can't use a stop sign as a speed control device, but we will have people in here with torches and pitchforks complaining about speeding. He is not in favor of removing these stop signs.

Commissioner Davies asked if speed tables are considered traffic control devices. Chief Bell indicated they are traffic calming devices. He also inquired what standards must be met for placement or planning of the placement for such a device. Chief Bell noted that he could not answer that question at this time. He knows there are certain criteria.

Grant Tracking

Mr. Border noted the proposal in the Board's packet for grant tracking. This is an added modular that can be added to our current system to help track all the grants and projects that we have going. You can track not only where all the money is going but you can use that information to create a realistic budget from one year to another. This item was budgeted for this year's budget. This will be on the legislative meeting agenda.

Salt Shed

Mr. Border noted that the grant is up in June of 2026, and he is looking for directions from the Board on what way we are going to proceed with this. Commissioner DeHart asked if we ever had a committee formed to look into this. Mr. Border indicated no formal committee was ever developed, it was mainly HRG, and staff. Vice President Truntz, recalled the last information that he had was we were talking about putting it here in the back next to the public works building and it got to be a 1.2-million-dollar salt shed. He asked if there is any other alternative. Mr. Border indicated that we could put it in the same location as it is now, but

the engineer will not sign off on it. Mr. Fabian indicated that was correct because of the unconsolidated fill. He added that the Township wouldn't need an engineered plan set if the building was demo and put back below the threshold and required written E&S Plan if you're below 5,000 square feet, he noted that we are around 4,000 square feet. Vice President Truntz noted that he doesn't want to be in a position where we put something out there and it collapses, which he understands that is extreme, he asked if there is anywhere else on that property we could put it. Mr. Fabian indicated it's all the same fill in there, they looked at the top portion too. Commissioner DeHart commented that another issue there was the water runoff on Oberlin Road. He asked if we could divert that another way with paving. Mr. Fabain answered yes or with grading or catchment structures. Commissioner Paul asked about the analysis of the soils and structure that was proposed, which was a masonry concrete wall type structure, what if there was a less engineered type of salt shed put in there that would lighten the burden on the soils, would that be possible. Mr. Fabian responded that you can't pass a truck over that soil without it pumping, the salt trucks coming in are pumping the soil because it's unconsolidated fill. Mr. Border stressed that the problem putting it behind the Administrative Office next to the Public Works building is we would have to enforce the roadway with all the heavy trucks going over it. Mr. Fabain added that there are shallow plastic storm pipes that could be crushed as well.

Vice President Truntz asked how critical the condition of current salt shed is and is there any temporary fix. Mr. Washinger noted that if a truck accidentally hit the wall the right way it could all come down. Mr. Border added that the safety of the shed has been brought up at various safety committee meetings.

Mr. Messick commented that the current salt shed has been there as long as he has lived in this Township and as far as he is aware, the Township never lost a dump/salt truck on that site. If it pumps a little bit, who cares, put some more blacktop down and use it for another 20 years and if it cracks add more blacktop. He thinks the Township is making a mountain out of a molehill with all of this. President Wilt stated that it's fine till a safety issues arises and liability on the Township. Vice President Truntz noted that what he would like to see is an opinion saying there is a high probability of something going wrong or low probability, have a disclaimer that this opinion is for informational purposes only. If we do something like what Mr. Messick suggests maybe we could make this work, unless we go buy another piece of property this is the best place to put it on. If we put the salt shed beside the Public Works building, we are going to have very upset residents, it will be a big deal. After more discussion it was determined that if the building would go where the building is now, since it has been in the same place for many years, it would probably be the least problematic place to put it. Commissioner Davies suggested HRG provide the Board with another copy of the geotechnical report that was done so they can review it again. The Board also asked HRG to do a cost estimate for the current site. They all agreed this is a need that needs addressed.

<u>Fleet Policy</u>

Mr. Border stated that the Insurance Company did a walk through with him and the one thing we don't have is a fleet policy as far as the drivers eligible to drive our vehicles and a policy to govern that. They gave us a templet that he sent over to the Solicitor to review. He did get the Township set up with PennDOT to have the administration employees license check yearly just like the CDL drivers.

Cost estimate – Ebenezer Road Widening

Mr. Border noted that last month the Board had asked HRG to do a cost estimate for widening Ebenezer Road and that it was provided to the Board in their packets. Commissioner DeHart noted that the estimate included the whole length of Ebenezer Road, he is proposing to scale down that estimate from the park entrance southbound to the property line to widen the shoulder. Mr. Fabian noted that it would potentially cut two thirds of the cost. Commissioner DeHart noted this would give residents room to walk their dogs, he is already seeing people walking their dogs there. Vice President Truntz commented that it seems like a lot of money to him.

Michael Trump, 1535 Old Reliance Rd stated that he is here this evening because of this widening project. He feels the Township will be opening pandoras box by widening one small section. You will be sending a precedent. You are encroaching upon people's property and he for one does not want it. He asked when the last time a dog was run over, or if a walker/biker was hit on Old Reliance or Ebenezer. He asked the Board to leave the road as it is. Mr. Border added that it didn't seem like much value for the dollar amount this would cost the Township.

Vice President Truntz asked for clarity in the location south of the entrance that Commissioner DeHart is talking about. Is that Township property or resident's parcels. Mr. Fabian indicated it is all park parcels, so it is all Township property. Commissioner Paul asked if this would trigger more drainage issues. Mr. Fabian responded that he would not recommend this till the Township is closed with NPDES permit on the park and RACP grant, otherwise it would absolutely trigger more drainage issues. Vice President Truntz commented this seems like an expensive can of worms with little benefit. President Wilt added that he doesn't see the benefit, he is not in favor of doing this.

ENGINEER'S REPORT:

Mr. Fabian reported on the following: Richard **L. Shireman Park** – We are expecting trail paving, play structures curd in place fall protection pouring and final site amenities in the next two weeks. They did look at the tree mortality issue that has been discussed, it is a lot more evident now that spring is here. The contractor is getting together a game plan to remove the trees that didn't make it and replace them with new ones. **Fiddler's Elbow Box Culvert** – HRG continues to work with the contractor to resolve 3rd party utility relocation issues which are delaying our construction start. We have gotten better traction, but delays have pushed construction to start to the beginning of May now. Township staff were able to issue the tax-exempt

form for the contractor to process payment for the box culvert and set the delivery date. We are working on a no-dollar value change order to formally change the substantial and final completion dates onsite for board action at the April Legislative Meeting. Substantial Completion August 23, 2024 – Suggest moving to June 30, 2025, and September 27, 2024 – Suggest moving to July 18, 2025. **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program** – On track to open the bid on Tuesday, April 8, 2025. HRG will have recommendations for the legislative meeting on April 16, 2025. **2024 CIP Stormwater Improvement Project** – The only permit outstanding is the preparation for the highway occupancy permit for the work under 283. **2025 Georgetown Park Improvements** – The DCNR grant funding was submitted today.

Commissioner DeHart asked Mr. Fabian if we included anything for the Shireman Park. Mr. Fabain indicated that the recommendation was to keep it to a single site for now. We talked about Greenfield Park down the road since this is an annual grant.

GOOD AND WELFARE:

Commissioner DeHart thanked everyone for coming out.

Commissioner Davies commented that it is great to hear from folks about the plans that are proposed so we can get a feel for how people really feel about it before we proceed forward.

Commissioner Paul also thanked everyone for being here this evening.

Vice President Truntz thanked everyone and called for an executive session this evening for a personnel matter.

President Wilt thanked everyone for coming out.

ADJOURN:

Hearing no other comments, a motion was made by Commissioner DeHart, seconded by Commissioner Paul to adjourn the meeting and convene into executive session. The motion was unanimously approved, and the meeting adjourned at 8:15 P.M.

ATTEST:

Tracey Beckel
Township Secretary

PLEASE PRINT NAME CLEARLY
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS APRIL 2, 2025
WORKSHOP MEETING (7:00 PM)

Name/Organization	Address/Contact Information
Dale Messick	Resident
Michael Trump	1535 Old Reliance Rd
Tom Kayserian	Resident
Bill Meisel	MASD
Scott Cousin	CRA
Marc Krowski	K&W
CD Knopp	Resident